

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/633,480	08/01/2003	Dan Pharo		6404
75	90 02/26/2004		EXAMINER	
Robert J. Schaap			SMITH, RICHARD A	
Ste. 188			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
21241 Ventura	Blvd.		ARTONI	TAI ER NOMBER
Woodland Hills, CA 91364			2859	

DATE MAILED: 02/26/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•					
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Interview Summary	10/633,480 PHARO ET AL.				
interview Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	R. Alexander Smith	2859			
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTC) personnel):				
(1) R. Alexander Smith.	(3) <i>Dan Pharo (inventor)</i> .				
(2) Robert J. Schaap (RN 20577).	(4) <u>Alex Humbree (invento</u>	<u>r)</u> .			
Date of Interview: <u>19 February 2004</u> .					
Type: a)☐ Telephonic b)☐ Video Conference c)☒ Personal [copy given to: 1)☐ applicant	2)⊠ applicant's representative	e]			
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d)⊠ Yes If Yes, brief description: <i>The applicant's invention and</i>	e)⊡ No. I a mat similar to a prior art refe	erence, i.e., Hensler.			
Claim(s) discussed: <u>all</u> .					
Identification of prior art discussed: Hensler, Chein, . Ge	weiler et al.				
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached.		N/A.			
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .					
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)					
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, O FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMEN'S Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse	ne last Office action has already R THE MAILING DATE OF TH I OF THE SUBSTANCE OF TH	/ been filed, APPLICANT IS INTERVIEW SUMMARY			

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:

Discussed the prior art rejections and the references Chien, Hensler et al., and Gehweiler et al.

A general discussion with respect to the uses and functions of the system was discussed.

With respect to structure, Applicant discussed the end of line not being taught by the applied prior art of record in combination for the intended use. Although addressed by the examiner in the previous Office action, the examiner requested that the applicant submit arguments emphasizing this limitation and why it is not obvious.

Also discussed the possibility of the invention in combination with a destination...